UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT

1

)	Plaintiffs,)
) D.K. WILLIAMS, WARDEN FCI) DANBURY, <i>et al.</i> ,)	55 ×)
DANBURY, et al.,	V.) Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-749 (VAB)
DANBURY, et al.,)
	D.K. WILLIAMS, WARDEN FCI)
Defendants.) September 14, 2018	DANBURY, et al.,)
	Defendants.) September 14, 2018

DATIO CADID -4 -1

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendants D.K. Williams, Herman Quay, and Hugh Hurwitz move to dismiss the Second Amended Complaint filed on June 1, 2018 [ECF No. 36] on the following grounds:

- First, the Supreme Court's decision in *Ziglar v. Abbasi*, 137 S. Ct. 1843 (2017) bars Plaintiffs' *Bivens* claims against Defendants Williams and Quay, in their personal capacities, because Plaintiffs seek to extend the *Bivens* remedy to a new context, alternative processes exist to protect Plaintiffs' interests, and multiple special factors counsel hesitation against creating a free-standing damages remedy in this case.
- Second, Plaintiffs' personal-capacity claims against Defendants Williams and Quay
 under the First Amendment and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act (RFRA) are
 barred by qualified immunity. Neither the Supreme Court nor the Second Circuit has ever
 recognized any right of inmates to pray in all areas of a federal prison together "with the
 maximum number of practicing Muslims possible." Compl. ¶ 19. Plaintiffs have failed to
 allege that the conduct of Defendants Williams or Quay personally violated any clearly
 established constitutional or statutory right.

• Finally, Plaintiffs' official-capacity claims against Defendants Williams and Hurwitz fail to state a claim for relief under RFRA or the First Amendment because (1) the Second Amended Complaint fails to allege facts showing that the prison's policy substantially burdens the free exercise of religion, and (2) sovereign immunity bars any attempt to recover money damages from the Defendants in their official capacities.

Accordingly, Defendants respectfully request that the Court grant this motion and dismiss Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint in its entirety. A supporting memorandum of law accompanies this motion.

Dated: September 14, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN H. DURHAM UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/ Vanessa Roberts Avery Vanessa Roberts Avery (ct21000) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Main Street Hartford, Connecticut 06103 Tel: (860) 947-1101 Email: vanessa.avery@usdoj.gov

JOSEPH H. HUNT Assistant Attorney General

C. SALVATORE D'ALESSIO, JR. Acting Director, Torts Branch

MARY H. MASON Senior Trial Counsel, Torts Branch

/s/ Reginald M. Skinner Trial Attorney Virginia State Bar. No. 48785 Torts Branch, Civil Division United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7146, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 Case 3:17-cv-00749-VAB Document 54 Filed 09/14/18 Page 3 of 4

Tel: (202) 616-3111 Fax: (202) 616-4314 Email: reginald.m.skinner@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the Defendants

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on September 14, 2018, a copy of the foregoing was filed electronically and served by mail on anyone unable to accept electronic filing. Notice of this filing will be sent by electronic mail to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system or by mail to anyone unable to accept electronic filing as indicated in the Notice of Electronic Filing. Parties may access this filing through the Court's CM/ECF System.

> /s/ Reginald M. Skinner Trial Attorney Virginia State Bar. No. 48785 Torts Branch, Civil Division United States Department of Justice P.O. Box 7146, Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044 Tel: (202) 616-3111 Fax: (202) 616-4314 Email: reginald.m.skinner@usdoj.gov